

J-PAL AGENCY REVIEW

		DIRECT INDICATORS OF AGENCY				FAMILY DOMAIN OF AGENCY		ECONOMIC DOMAIN OF AGENCY		COMMUNITY/POLITICAL DOMAIN OF AGENCY		
		"Power within"	Household decision-making	Freedom of movement	Freedom from violence	Timing of marriage and childbearing	Contraceptive use	Labor force participation	Income generation from entrepreneurship	Participation in politics and community decision-making	Voting behavior	Participation in groups and ties in the community
INTERVENTION TYPES	Information sharing					Little evidence		Little evidence		Moderate evidence	Moderate evidence	
	Mass media intervention	Little evidence			Little evidence							Moderate evidence
	Community mobilization				Moderate evidence							Little evidence
	Engaging men		Moderate evidence		Strong evidence		Moderate evidence					
	Technical skills		Little evidence		Little evidence				Strong evidence			
	Empowerment/life/soft skills	Little evidence						Little evidence	Moderate evidence			Strong evidence
	Adolescent girls programs	Strong evidence		Little evidence	Strong evidence	Strong evidence		Moderate evidence				Moderate evidence
	Microcredit		Strong evidence					Moderate evidence	Strong evidence			Moderate evidence
	Savings (including groups)	Little evidence	Moderate evidence	Little evidence				Moderate evidence	Strong evidence	Moderate evidence		
	Business grant								Moderate evidence			
	Transfers	Moderate evidence	Strong evidence ³	Little evidence	Strong evidence	Strong evidence ⁵	Little evidence	Strong evidence				
	Access to jobs/employment	Moderate evidence ¹				Little evidence		Moderate evidence				
	Graduation approach	Little evidence	Strong evidence	Little evidence					Strong evidence	Strong evidence		
	Microcredit plus	Moderate evidence			Little evidence					Little Evidence		
	Savings plus	Strong evidence	Strong evidence	Moderate evidence	Little evidence					Moderate evidence	Moderate evidence	Little evidence
	Business grants plus				Little evidence			Little evidence	Little evidence			
	Vocational training plus	Little evidence	Little evidence					Moderate evidence				
	Other bundled model		Moderate evidence	Little evidence	Little evidence			Little evidence	Moderate evidence			
	Access to childcare							Moderate evidence				
Land/property regulations		Little evidence			Little evidence ⁶		Little evidence ³					
Gender quotas	Strong evidence ^{1,2}		Little evidence ⁴		Little evidence				Strong evidence ³			

	POSITIVE IMPACTS	MIXED	NEGATIVE IMPACTS	NULL
STRONG EVIDENCE	More than 4 studies, supported by approximately three-quarters of more of the studies	More than 4 studies, mixed evidence	More than 4 studies, supported by approximately three-quarters of more of the studies	More than 4 studies, approximately three-quarters or more of the studies show null results
MODERATE EVIDENCE	3-4 studies; all or 3 out of 4 studies show positive results	3-4 studies; 2 out of 3 or 2 out of 4 studies show positive results	3-4 studies; all or 3 out of 4 studies show negative results	3-4 studies; all or 3 out of 4 studies show null results
LITTLE EVIDENCE	1-2 studies; all show positive results	1-2 studies with mixed results	1-2 studies; all show negative results	1-2 studies; all show null results

¹ Strong evidence of positive effects in India.

² Effect size: large effects only in India.

³ Includes unconditional cash transfers (UCTs), conditional cash transfers (CCTs), and in-kind transfers. For CCTs, there was strong evidence of positive effects; For UCTs and in-kind transfers, evidence from a few studies showed null effects.

⁴ Effect size: consistently small.

⁵ Effect size: consistently large.

⁶ Includes a study on marriage laws.